(37)

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

Writ Petition No. 1866 of 2006

PETITIONER: Ramu Ahir, Aged about 50 years,
S/o Sukali Yadav (Ahir),
Saw Machine Helper,
Token No.986, Code: 10048,
Navgawa Project Colliery,
Rajendra Nagar, Sohagpur,
South Eastern Coalfields Limited,
Shahdol (M.P.),
R/o Sangram Singh Dafai,
Post Office: Dhanpuri,
District: Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh,
Pin Code: 484 114.

P.N. No. 1918/06
Presented by Shri S.K. Gupta
dated 6/11/06

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS:

1. South Eastern Coalfields Limited,
Through its Managing Director,
Seepat Road, Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh.

2. General Manager,
South Eastern Coalfields Limited,
Sohagpur Area,
Post Office: Dhanpuri,
Police Station: Dhanpuri,
District: Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh.

3. Manager, Navgawa Project Colliery,
Sohagpur, South Eastern Coalfields
Limited, Post Office: Karha,
Police Station: Budhar,
Tehsil: Sohagpur, District: Shahdol,
Madhya Pradesh.

उपायुक्त, एस.आर. कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड, बिलासपुर (छ.ग.) 22/8/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTIONS ETC., IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND/OR ANY OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OF LIKE NATURE.

(30) (29)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 1866/2006

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	20-4-2006 Shri V.C. Ottalwar, Adv. for the petitioner. Heard. Shri P.S. Koshy, who is present in Court is directed to take notice on behalf of the respondents. Extra copies be supplied to him. The matter relates to the correction of date of birth of the petitioner in the official record. According to the petitioner, the date of birth of the petitioner has been incorrectly recorded in the official record. It is mentioned as 1-6-1946 which should have been 1-3-1056. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter may be referred to the Age Determination Committee. Counsel for the respondents has no objection. Having thus considered, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the matter is referred to the Age Determination Committee which shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law. The petition is accordingly disposed of at this stage. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

[पीछे देखिये